UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,715	06/28/2006	Hye-Jin Lee	P58024	8575
	7590		EXAM	INER
2029 K STREET NW			MEHTA, HONG T	
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rebushnell@aol.com mail@rebushnell.com info@rebushnell.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,715	LEE, HYE-JIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	

HONG MEHTA 1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	s
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandor application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following periods:	n places the a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ex have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office act set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension fee ction; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the ap Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered becau (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the is appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTC 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cannot be allowed by proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate. 	
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an expla how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 8-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is nec was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will nentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance between the consideration and the condition for allowance between the condition of the claims after entry is below or attached. 	pecause:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	
/Jennifer McNeil/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794 /HONG MEHTA/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794	

Continuation of 13. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: No amended claims or arguments were presented. See Final Rejection 12/03/2009. Substitue specification and abstract submitted on December 30, 2009 will be entered and overcome the previous objections.